

Kimden: alinihatyazici2012@gmail.com
Gönderme Tarihi: 6 Kasım 2019 Çarşamba 12:49
Kime: victor.bologan@fide.com
Bilgi: ozgurso@gmail.com; titles@fide.com; fidepresident@fide.com; office@fide.com; kokiselyov@gmail.com
Konu: false IO applications from Turkish Chess Federation - Akif Tayfun Haznedaroglu
Ekler: NORM-1___
1st.norm.paper.signed.and.published.on.fide.web.site.for.Tayfun_Haznedaroglu.pdf;
NORM-2___
2nd.norm.paper.signed.and.published.on.fide.web.site.for.Tayfun_Haznedaroglu.pdf;
NORM-3___
2nd.norm.paper.signed.and.published.on.fide.web.site.for.Tayfun_Haznedaroglu.pdf;
EVIDENCE 2-2018 Cubuk Open A - arbiter nominations by TCF-CAC.pdf; EVIDENCE 3 - 2017_Baskent University Open Arbiters Nomination by TC-CAC.pdf; EVIDENCE 1 - 2018_Baskent University Open Arbiters Nomination by TC-CAC.pdf; Tournament_Report_3.for.the norm.3.of.Mr.Tayfun.Haznedaroglu.pdf; Tournament_Report_1.for.the norm.1.of.Mr.Tayfun.Haznedaroglu.pdf; Tournament_Report_2.for.the norm.2.of.Mr.Tayfun.Haznedaroglu.pdf

Dear Victor,

I hope all is ok with you and wish success to FIDE management again. The reason I am sending this message is two insufficient-inadequate applications for FIDE IO titles made by Turkish Chess Federation.

In this e-mail I will mention the first IO title application for Mr. Akif Tayfun Haznedaroglu.

The titles is posted on official fide website on this page:

https://ratings.fide.com/titles_page.phtml

Name of the applicant is Akif Tayfun HAZNEDAROGLU (FIDE ID: 6383343)

Except the IO seminar, the tournaments norms are false. This gentleman did not contribute as organiser to any one of these events, as it may be seen clearly from documents attached and evidences given below.

All those events are organised in Ankara, and when we check the tournament reports sent to FIDE, we may easily understand that he was not organiser in anyone of these events.

Let me show it with evidences:

Norm 1: 2nd Baskent University International Open Chess Tournament

Tournament Report page: https://ratings.fide.com/tournament_information.phtml?event=162034

Screen capture of related area:

| | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Chief Arbiter | Ibisoglu, Aylin (TUR) FA,FI |
| Deputy Chief Arbiter | Alpyuruk, Mehmet Salim (TUR) IA |
| Arbiter | Sen, Ilhan (TUR) |
| Chief Organizer | Cinar Corlulu, Nilufer (TUR) |
| Organizer | |
| View Report | Rated for August 2017 as Standard |

As you may see chief organiser is another person, and even his name “Tayfun Haznedaroglu” was not listed as organiser.

Indeed, the applicant was in other duty in the same event, which is “OBSERVER= Gözlemci” means evaluating arbiters in the tournament. The document may be found as **Evidence 1** as an attachment to this e-mail.

Attachment shows the arbiters and Observer “last line” nominations made by TCF-CAC (Turkish Chess Federation Central Arbiters Committee). And the link still exists:

http://tsf.org.tr/images/stories/mhk/mhk_2017_gorevlendirmeler/ankara_bakent_opennnn.pdf

I made a pdf file from the link, since TCF nowadays erasing or deleting official documents from web site. So, the link above probably may disappear after this objection sent to FIDE.

The statute of Observer “Gözlemci in Turkish” is a system, I have created to check and evaluate the arbiters and make a report if they had fulfilled their responsibilities respecting to federation regulations during my mandate as the president of Turkish Chess Federation. Observer is nominated together with arbiters before the event by Central Arbiters Committee of TCF. And it must be published on the official page. Also, you may see on those nomination pages, the date and the number of the decision of CAC of TCF.

As you know same system exists in football and other sports. But in this example, thanking to this document we may prove the “**conflict of interest**”, if a chief arbiter signs a norm for the person who is authorized to evaluate chief arbiter and all arbiters, this norm shouldn’t be accepted. How an arbiter maybe neutral for the observer who is evaluating her/him?

Norm 2: CUBUK MUNICIPALITY 4TH INTERNATIONAL CHESS TOURNAMENT – A

Tournament Report page: https://ratings.fide.com/tournament_information.phtml?event=191073

Notice: This tournament name and links were not correct on 5th November 2019, it had been changed in the last 24 hours on FIDE title declaration page! (This objection was sent on 6th November). But fortunately, norm paper and tournament report linked to the event is still old.

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| Zone | |
| Chief Arbiter | Korkuc, Cagatay (TUR) FA |
| Deputy Chief Arbiter | Sen, Ilhan (TUR) |
| Chief Organizer | Gulec, Engin (TUR) |
| Organizer | |
| View Report | Rated for August 2018 as Standard |

Again, name of applicant was listed neither as chief organiser nor as organiser. And the applicant was not even an “Observer” in this event.

Official page of CAC-TCF http://tsf.org.tr/images/stories/mhk/2018_hakem_gorevlendirme/2018_cubuk.pdf

Again, the norm is not valid since the applicant was not organiser in the event.

There is also an ethical conflict here. In the norm paper signed by Chief Arbiter, the name of the event was written as “3rd Cubuk Municipality International Open Chess Tournament”.

This is not a basic typing mistake. It is a strong evidence showing that the norm paper was generated new and who has written it did not check the name of the event. It was published on the FIDE page and on this published norm paper,

- The number of players is wrong, it shows the previous event on 2017
- The number of rated players is wrong, it shows the previous event on 2017
- The dates are wrong, belong to 2017 event.

It is clear copy from the previous event on 2017.

Indeed, tournament report still shows the previous year. For me it is shameful seeing such things in FIDE web site.

I can't believe a Chief Arbiter preparing this norm paper on 2018 will make mistake with dates, number of players, and the name of the tournament at the time he signs it.

So, this is a clear evidence that all norm papers are prepared new and signing persons were asked to sign under it. **This is a breach to FIDE Ethical Codes.**

Also, it will be better on your side to put a notice when you change information as FIDE. Since yesterday morning, the name of the event was changed on application page. Probably norm paper and the tournament reports sent by TCF was forgotten to be changed.

Norm 3: 3rd Intl Baskent Universtiy Open Chess Tnmt

Tournament report: https://ratings.fide.com/tournament_information.phtml?event=194087

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| Type | |
| Time Control | Standard: 90 minutes with 30 second increment from move 1 |
| Zone | |
| Chief Arbiter | Ibisoglu, Aylin (TUR) FA,FI |
| Deputy Chief Arbiter | Adanmis, Hulya (TUR) |
| Arbiter | Erevikli, Reha (TUR) Tugcu, Onur (TUR) Donmez, Zeki Tuncer (TUR) Kala, Fahri (TUR) |
| Chief Organizer | Gulec, Engin (TUR) |
| Organizer | |
| View Report | Rated for October 2018 as Standard |

Again, the same situation is valid for the third norm.

As you may see chief organiser is a different person, and the name of the applicant "Tayfun Haznedaroglu" was not listed as organiser.

Indeed, the applicant was responsible for a different duty, which is "OBSERVER= Gözlemci" means evaluating arbiters in the tournament. The document may be found as **Evidence 3** as an attachment to this e-mail.

Attachment shows the arbiters and Observer "**last line**" nominations made by TCF-CAC (Turkish Chess Federation Central Arbiters Committee). And the link still exists:

http://tsf.org.tr/images/stories/mhk/2018_hakem_gorevlendirme/2018_baskentuniguncel2808.pdf

And I added pdf file again since it may be erased from TCF official web page. Hitherto, because the applicant was "observer" his norm is invalid and shouldn't be accepted for IO title.

Considering clear evidences given above; Three norms of Mr. Akif Tayfun Haznedaroglu are not valid for IO application.

Respecting the evidences; I demand from FIDE,

- Cancellation of those three norms and rejecting the application of IO for Mr. Akif Tayfun Haznedaroglu

On the other hand, I ask your attention to matter that FIDE Events Committee is evaluating those IO norms, and submitting a report to FIDE PB/ FIDE EB or FIDE GA respectively according to calendar of FIDE.

And this committee is chaired by a Turkish person. This makes the situation even worse. Since the same person is the TCF Board member and without his notice no IO application will be sent to FIDE. This is totally unethical, and I have not seen anything similar in my chess career.

This is a conflict of interest as well. When we check the other application submitted to FIDE by TCF (IO title application for Mr. Aykut Ilker Mete) we understand that same illegalities exist there. I will send a second e-mail for

objection to IO title applicant for Mr. Aykut Ilker Mete, although he is very qualified person to have IO title.
Everything should be done properly, shouldn't be?

Best regards, (date: 6 November 2019)

Ali Nihat YAZICI

FIDE Honorary Member

FIDE IA, FIDE IO

Distribution: This e-mail was sent to

Note: I add signed norm papers published officially on the FIDE website as attachment. It is very possible TCF will send new norms which may be generated after this objection retroactively to correct mistakes.

Attachments:

NORM-1___1st.norm.paper.signed.and.published.on.fide.web.site.for.Tayfun_Haznedaroglu

NORM-2___2nd.norm.paper.signed.and.published.on.fide.web.site.for.Tayfun_Haznedaroglu

NORM-3___2nd.norm.paper.signed.and.published.on.fide.web.site.for.Tayfun_Haznedaroglu

Tournament_Report_1.for.the.norm.1.of.Mr.Tayfun.Haznedaroglu

Tournament_Report_2.for.the.norm.2.of.Mr.Tayfun.Haznedaroglu

Tournament_Report_3.for.the.norm.3.of.Mr.Tayfun.Haznedaroglu

EVIDENCE 1 - 2018_Baskent University Open Arbiters Nomination by TC-CAC

EVIDENCE 2-2018 Cubuk Open A - arbiter nominations by TCF-CAC

EVIDENCE 3 - 2017_Baskent University Open Arbiters Nomination by TC-CAC